United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,986	10/31/2003	Gary L. Vondran JR.	200311220-1	8972	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER		
			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
·			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/26/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10697986	10/31/03	VONDRAN ET AL.	. 200311220-1		
				EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			Stephen M. Brinich		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER	
			2625	20080211	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/697,986	VONDRAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stephen M. Brinich	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•							
, — · · ·	action is non-final.	• *						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-48 and 51-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o								
Application Papers								
	r ·							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
11) The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	arrimior. Noto ino allaonoa omos							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio								
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
		•						
Attachment(c)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.4/18/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/31/03,4/18/06</u> .	٠/ الــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ							

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 34-48 & 51-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/16/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American

Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual

Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do

not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly

or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2625

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-17, 19, 22-28, 30, 33, & 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et al (US 7130073).

Re claims 1, 3, 12, 14, 23, 25, & 49, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, lines 39-62) a print job processing method and means in which a selected pattern object is identified within a print job and the number of times that pattern object is used is counted (such a "counting" inherently constitutes the incrementing of the count upon each occurrence).

Further re claims 6, 12, 17, & 28, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, lines 44-47) the generation of object lists (a "list" being the one-dimensional case of a "table").

Further re claim 23, Kumar et al discloses (column 9, lines 25-30) the implementation of this print job processing arrangement using programmed instructions stored on a computer readable medium.

Re claims 2, 13 & 24, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, lines 15-16) the use of a print job described by a page description language (PDL) file.

Art Unit: 2625

Re claims 4, 15, & 26, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, lines 29-30) the use of a print job containing an image (a map).

Re claims 5, 16, 27, & 50, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, 51-55, the generation of a pattern object identifier associated with the pattern object (readable on the recited "signature") and the comparing of this identifier to detect matches.

Re claims 8, 19, & 30, Kumar et al discloses (column 1, lines 56-60) the storing (caching) of a pattern object based on the number of usages (storing it in cache, and then removing it once its number of usages has been exhausted).

Re claims 11, 22, & 33, Kumar et al discloses (column 2, lines 10-17) expansion of pattern objects in the X and Y directions to fit them to the predetermined coordinate system for rendering.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 7, 9-10, 18, 20-21, 29, & 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Number: 10/697,986

Art Unit: 2625

Re claims 7, 18, & 29, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited page number listing and association in conjunction with the recited determination of pattern object matches and incrementation of usage numbers within a print job.

Re claims 9-10, 20-21, & 31-32, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited partitioning arrangement for a print job based on usage and common objects in conjunction with the recited determination of pattern object matches within a print job.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application, entry of papers into this application, or other any inquiries of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2625

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300.

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brin

Examiner

•

Technology Division 2625

smb

February 11, 2008